The Massless Spectrum of Heterotic Compactifications

Xenia de la Ossa[1], *Edward Hardy*[2], *and Eirik Eik Svanes*[3,4,5,*]

We discuss the four-dimensional massless spectrum of supersymmetric Minkowski compactifications of ten-dimensional heterotic supergravity, including the anomaly cancelation condition. This can be calculated from restrictions arising from F-term conditions in a four dimensional effective theory. The results agree with computations of the infinitesimal moduli space recently performed from a ten-dimensional perspective. The paper is based on a talk given by Eirik Eik Svanes in Leuven for the workshop on 'The String Theory Universe'.

arXiv:1511.02812v1 [hep-t

1 The Superpotential

For a compactification of ten-dimensional heterotic supergravity to four dimensions to preserve $N = 1$ supersymmetry, the internal space must have an $SU(3)$-structure (X, Ω, ω), where ω is the hermitian two-form (Kähler form), and $\Omega \in \Omega^{(3,0)}(X)$ is a complex top-form that encodes the complex structure. The superpotential of the effective theory theory is then given by [1–3]

$$W = \int_X (H + i\mathrm{d}\omega) \wedge \Omega \,. \tag{1}$$

Here

$$H = \mathrm{d}B + \frac{\alpha'}{4}\left(\omega_{CS}(A) - \omega_{CS}(\nabla)\right), \tag{2}$$

where $H_0 = \mathrm{d}B$ for a two-form potential B, and ω_{CS} are the Chern-Simons forms. The F-term conditions for unbroken supersymmetry are

$$\delta W = W = 0 \,. \tag{3}$$

These are equivalent to requiring [4]

$$\mathrm{d}\Omega = 0 \tag{4}$$

$$F^{(0,2)} = R^{(0,2)} = 0 \tag{5}$$

$$H = i(\partial - \bar{\partial})\omega \,, \tag{6}$$

where F and R are the curvatures of A and ∇. That is, X is complex, and (V, A) and (TX, ∇) are holomorphic bundles.

Supersymmetry also requires that X is conformally balanced. The remaining supersymmetry conditions requires that the bundles satisfy the Yang-Mills condition, and can be derived from D-terms in the effective four-dimensional theory [5]. For the present paper, we will assume that these conditions are satisfied, in particular that the bundles are stable [6]. See however [7,8] for more details on this.

2 Infinitesimal Moduli: The Massless Spectrum

The massless spectrum of the four-dimensional theory can be obtained from computing the infinitesimal moduli space of the the ten-dimensional solution [8, 9], or equivalently from the effective theory as we shall show. Further details may be found in [7]. At the supersymmetric locus, the mass-matrix of the four dimensional effective theory is given by

$$V_{I\bar{J}} = e^{\mathcal{K}}\, \partial_I \partial_K W \partial_{\bar{J}} \partial_{\bar{L}} \bar{W} \mathcal{K}^{K\bar{L}} \,, \tag{7}$$

where $\mathcal{K}$ is the Kähler potential. To obtain the massless moduli we must therefore find the deformations δ_2 for which

$$\delta_2 \delta_1 W = 0 \quad \forall\ \delta_1 \,. \tag{8}$$

where δ_1 is a generic deformation. Physically this is demanding that $\delta_1 W$ which is a generic F-term is not gen-

* Corresponding author E-mail: esvanes@lpthe.jussieu.fr
1 Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford, Andrew Wiles Building, Radcliffe Observatory Quarter, Woodstock Road, Oxford OX2 6GG, UK
2 Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Strada Costiera 11, 34151, Trieste, Italy
3 Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, UMR 7589, LPTHE, F-75005, Paris, France
4 CNRS, UMR 7589, LPTHE, F-75005, Paris, France
5 Sorbonne Universités, Institut Lagrange de Paris, 98 bis Bd Arago, 75014 Paris, France

Copyright line will be provided by the publisher

erated by deforming in the direction δ_2. It follows that

$$\mathrm{d}\delta_2\Omega = 0 \;\Rightarrow\; \delta_2\Omega \in H^{(2,1)}(X) \;\Leftrightarrow\; \Delta_2 \in H^{(0,1)}(TX)\,, \qquad (9)$$

where Δ_2 is the complex structure deformation corresponding to $\delta_2\Omega$. We also get that

$$\mathscr{F}(\Delta_2) = \Delta_2^a \wedge F_{a\bar{b}}\,\mathrm{d}z^{\bar{b}} = \bar{\partial}\alpha_2 \qquad (10)$$

$$\mathscr{R}(\Delta_2) = \Delta_2^a \wedge R_{a\bar{b}}\,\mathrm{d}z^{\bar{b}} = \bar{\partial}\kappa_2\,, \qquad (11)$$

where $\alpha_2 \in \Omega^{(0,1)}(\mathrm{End}(V))$, $\kappa_2 \in \Omega^{(0,1)}(\mathrm{End}(V))$, whose closed part are bundle moduli. This implies that Δ_2 is in the kernel of Atiyah maps [10, 11]

$$\mathscr{F}:\;\; H^{(0,1)}(TX) \to H^{(0,2)}(\mathrm{End}(V)) \qquad (12)$$

$$\mathscr{R}:\;\; H^{(0,1)}(TX) \to H^{(0,2)}(\mathrm{End}(TX))\,. \qquad (13)$$

We can think of $x_2 = \Delta_2 + \alpha_2 + \kappa_2$ as the moduli of a holomorphic structure

$$\bar{\partial}_1 = \bar{\partial} + \mathscr{F} + \mathscr{R} \qquad (14)$$

defining an extension sequence

$$0 \to \mathbf{g} \to \mathscr{Q}_1 \to TX \to 0\,, \qquad (15)$$

where $\mathbf{g}$ = End(TX) $\oplus$ End(V). Note that $\bar{\partial}_1^2 = 0$ iff the Bianchi identities: $\bar{\partial}\mathscr{F} = \bar{\partial}\mathscr{R} = 0$ are satisfied. The infinitesimal moduli space is [10, 11]

$$T\mathscr{M}_1 = H^1(\mathscr{Q}_1) = H^1(\mathbf{g}) \oplus \ker(\mathscr{F} + \mathscr{R})\,. \qquad (16)$$

It should be noted that $H^1(\mathrm{End}(TX)) \subseteq H^1(\mathbf{g})$ are unphysical, but may be viewed as infinitesimal $\mathscr{O}(\alpha')$ field redefinitions [12].

Assuming that $H^{(0,1)}(X) = 0$ or the $\partial\bar{\partial}$-lemma, the final constraint from the condition $\delta_2\delta_1 W = 0$ is that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{H}(x_2) &= 2\Delta_2^a \wedge i\partial_{[a}\omega_{b]\bar{c}}\mathrm{d}z^{b\bar{c}} - \frac{\alpha'}{2}(\mathrm{tr}\,\alpha_2 \wedge F - \mathrm{tr}\,\kappa_2 \wedge R) \\ &= \bar{\partial} y_2^{(1,1)}\,, \end{aligned} \qquad (17)$$

for some $y_2^{(1,1)}$. The closed part of $y_2^{(1,1)}$ gives the hermitian moduli. It follows that $x_2 \in T\mathscr{M}_1$ is in the kernel of the map

$$\mathscr{H}:\;\; H^1(\mathscr{Q}_1) \to H^{(0,2)}(T^*X)\,. \qquad (18)$$

Again, we can think of $z_2 = x_2 + y_2$ as moduli of a holomorphic structure

$$\bar{\partial}_2 = \bar{\partial}_1 + \mathscr{H}\,, \qquad (19)$$

defining the extension sequence

$$0 \to T^*X \to \mathscr{Q}_2 \to \mathscr{Q}_1 \to 0\,. \qquad (20)$$

Note again that $\bar{\partial}_2^2 = 0$ iff the heterotic Bianchi identity

$$\mathrm{d}H = \frac{\alpha'}{4}(\mathrm{tr}\,F^2 - \mathrm{tr}\,R^2) \qquad (21)$$

is satisfied. Overall the massless spectrum is given by [8, 9]

$$T\mathscr{M}_2 = H^1(\mathscr{Q}_2) = H^{(0,1)}(T^*X) \oplus \ker(\mathscr{H})\,, \qquad (22)$$

where $H^{(0,1)}(T^*X)$ are the hermitian moduli.

Key words. String Compactifications, Effective Field Theory, Moduli

References

[1] S. Gukov, *Solitons, superpotentials and calibrations*, Nucl. Phys. B **574** (2000) 169 [hep-th/9911011].

[2] K. Becker, M. Becker, K. Dasgupta, and P. S. Green, *Compactifications of heterotic theory on nonKahler complex manifolds. 1.*, *JHEP* **0304** (2003) 007, [hep-th/0301161].

[3] G. Lopes Cardoso, G. Curio, G. Dall'Agata, and D. Lust, *BPS action and superpotential for heterotic string compactifications with fluxes*, *JHEP* **0310** (2003) 004, [hep-th/0306088].

[4] A. Strominger, *Superstrings with torsion*, *Nuclear Physics B* **274** (1986), no. 2 253 – 284.

[5] L. B. Anderson, J. Gray, A. Lukas, and B. Ovrut, *Stability Walls in Heterotic Theories*, *JHEP* **0909** (2009) 026, [arXiv:0905.1748].

[6] S.-T. Yau and J. Li, *Hermitian-Yang-Mills connections on non-Kahler manifolds*, *World Scient. Publ. London* (1987) 560–573.

[7] X. de la Ossa, E. Hardy and E. E. Svanes, *The Heterotic Superpotential and Moduli*, arXiv:1509.08724 [hep-th].

[8] X. de la Ossa and E. E. Svanes, *Holomorphic Bundles and the Moduli Space of N=1 Supersymmetric Heterotic Compactifications*, *JHEP* **1410** (2014) 123, [arXiv:1402.1725].

[9] L. B. Anderson, J. Gray, and E. Sharpe, *Algebroids, Heterotic Moduli Spaces and the Strominger System*, *JHEP* **1407** (2014) 037, [arXiv:1402.1532].

[10] M. F. Atiyah, *Complex analytic connections in fibre bundles*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **85** (1957) 181–207.

[11] L. B. Anderson, J. Gray, A. Lukas, and B. Ovrut, *The Atiyah Class and Complex Structure Stabilization in Heterotic Calabi-Yau Compactifications*, *JHEP* **1110** (2011) 032, [arXiv:1107.5076].

[12] X. de la Ossa and E. E. Svanes, *Connections, Field Redefinitions and Heterotic Supergravity*, *JHEP* **1412** (2014) 008, [arXiv:1409.3347].

Copyright line will be provided by the publisher